



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JA  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,939	12/15/2003	Gregory M. Finn		3724
7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Gregory Finn Foundry Cottage Ramsbury Marlborough, Wiltshire, SN8 2QD UNITED KINGDOM			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/735,939	FINN, GREGORY M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.


#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



JEROME W. DONNELLY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Curran.

Curran discloses a device comprising: a chin-up device (10) electrometric elements (14) and a sling (16)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curran.

In regard to claim 3 the examiner notes that it is known and that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the sling/belt of Curran of fabric material which has absorbent properties. Many belt also include foam linings, a notoriously well-known addition to belt means in the art.

The claims fail to provide proper antecedent basis for “chin-up/or dip exercise equipment” in claim 1, line 5.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the overall device of Nurge Fig. 6, Chen Fig. 2, and Gailey Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome  
Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

JEROME W. DONNELLY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "JEROME W. DONNELLY". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop on the left and a horizontal stroke on the right.

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Curran.

Curran discloses a device comprising: a chin-up device (10) electrometric elements (14) and a sling (16)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curran.

In regard to claim 3 the examiner notes that it is known and that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the sling/belt of Curran of fabric material which has absorbent properties. Many belt also include foam linings, a notoriously well-known addition to belt means in the art.

The claims fail to provide proper antecedent basis for “chin-up/or dip exercise equipment” in claim 1, line 5.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the overall device of Nurge Fig. 6, Chen Fig. 2, and Gailey Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome  
Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

JEROME W. DONNELLY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "JEROME W. DONNELLY". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, stylized initial 'J' on the left and a more traditional 'D' and 'N' followed by 'ELLY' on the right.